

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/594,692	BRIAND ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	MICHELE FLOOD	1655	

**All Participants:**

(1) MICHELE FLOOD.

**Status of Application:** Response to Non-Final Office Action

(3) James Larson.

(2) Yuhgo Maruta.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 5 May 2010

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

102(b)

Claims discussed:

Claims 6-8, 11 and 18-21

Prior art documents discussed:

Lienart (US 2004/0023924 A1 or FR 2814471 A1)

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Michele Flood/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1655

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)